15 July 1980

		1
	EYES ONLY FOR: Bruce Clarke	
25X1	Re : Replacement for	
	I do not know how the search for a replacement tands except that I know that (despite my support) he has been unable to find an academic candidate. I know of several prospects who may be available in a future year but are not available this year or next.	25X
25X1 25X1 25X1	There are, however, at least two non-academic possibilities of whom you should be aware. I asked to call your attention to one of them, Maybe he has done so. you know. He is now the I in Paris. Bob Bowie called him a couple of years ago when he was doing the original recruiting for the Review Panel and he was not available then because of his commitments to the Institute. He did not say, though, that he might not be interested and available later. I should think would be worth trying if you want another ambassador.	25X 25X 25X
25X1 25X1	The other possibility is Bowie also tried to recruit him, and almost succeeded. Is definitely interested but also firmly declined to be subjected to the polygraph. After some delay Bob took the matter up with Turner who said that he would give a waiver. Then, for some reason I shall never understand, the word was not passed	25X ⁻
25X1 25X1	Several weeks passed and by the time Bowie did get around to calling him was so annoyed he said he was no longer interested. I should warn you that part of this is by report from Bowie himself, but part is by inference. To be sure you have his side of it straight you should consult Bob. Anyway, not long ago, at a meeting at the Wilson Center (one of those you were invited to attend). I told that we were looking for a replacement for I were prepared to recommend him for the position, and asked him if he'd be interested in being considered. His reply (given without any hesitation) was that he'd have to "discuss it." Obviously, you are the one he'd have to discuss the subject with.	25X 25X
		•
		257